

# $R$ -matrices for integrable $SU(2) \times U(1)$ -symmetric $S = \frac{1}{2}$ spin-orbital chains

P. N. Bibikov

*V. A. Fock Institute of Physics, Sankt-Petersburg State University*

February 6, 2008

## Abstract

The Yang-Baxter equation for a  $SU(2) \times U(1)$ -symmetric  $S = \frac{1}{2}$  spin-orbital chain was solved using the special computer algorithm developed by the author. The 7 new  $R$ -matrices separated on 4 groups are presented. Among the obtained integrable models there are special cases related to 1D ferromagnet TDAE –  $C_{60}$ , 1D superconductors  $AC_{60}$  ( $A=K, Cs, Rb$ ), the quarter filled ladder compound  $NaV_2O_5$  and the model of correlated electrons on a chain of Berry phase molecules.

## 1 Introduction

At the beginning of 1970-s Kugel and Khomskii [1] and independently S. Inagaki [2] suggested two various models to describe magnetic properties of solids with orbital degeneracy in electron systems of atoms. Starting from the two-band Hubbard model [3] they have obtained low-energy Hamiltonians depending on both spin and pseudospin (orbital) operators. Kugel and Khomskii took into account geometry of d-orbitals entailing to asymmetry of hopping integrals and obtained a general but realistic Hamiltonian. On the contrary S. Inagaki postulating the symmetric hopping have distinguished between the Coulomb repulsions on the same and different orbitals. It was suggested in [1]-[3] that a non-trivial coupling between spin and orbital terms would result to a complex magnetic behavior such as a ferromagnetism induced by orbital ordering.

While the spin dependence of the Hamiltonians [1],[2] has purely  $SU(2)$ -invariant Heisenberg form its dependence upon pseudospin is more complicated and in the simplest case possess only the  $U(1)$  symmetry related to rotations along the  $z$  axis in the pseudospin space.

After the works [1],[2] some new applications of  $SU(2) \times U(1)$ -invariant spin models were suggested in a number of papers [4]-[10]. Ground state and excitations of some  $SU(2) \times U(1)$ -invariant spin chains were studied in [11],[12].

Suggested by L. D. Faddeev and his school Quantum Inverse Scattering Method (Algebraic Bethe Ansatz) [13]-[15] is the most elaborated approach for exact detailed analysis of a one-dimensional integrable spin chain. The latter is described by a Hamiltonian,

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{n=1}^N H_{n,n+1}, \quad (1)$$

acting on the finite-dimensional space  $(\mathbb{C}^M)^{\otimes N}$  ( $M = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ ). The each term  $H_{n,n+1}$  acts nontrivially as a  $M^2 \times M^2$  matrix  $H$  only on the tensor product of two neighbor spaces  $\mathbb{C}_n^M \otimes \mathbb{C}_{n+1}^M$ .

The keystone of this approaches is the Yang-Baxter equation:

$$R_{12}(\lambda - \mu)R_{23}(\lambda)R_{12}(\mu) = R_{23}(\mu)R_{12}(\lambda)R_{23}(\lambda - \mu), \quad (2)$$

with the initial regularity condition:

$$R(0) = cI. \quad (3)$$

Here  $R(\lambda)$  is a  $M^2 \times M^2$  matrix,  $I$  is the matrix unity while  $c$  is an arbitrary nonzero constant.

If the Hamiltonian density matrix  $H$  relates to  $R(\lambda)$  by the following formula:

$$H = \frac{\partial R(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=0}, \quad (4)$$

then the system (1) is integrable.

In [16] a new method was suggested for solving the Eqs (2),(3). It is based on the series expansion for  $R$ -matrix:

$$R(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} R^{(n)} \lambda^n, \quad (5)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} R^{(1)} &= H, \\ R^{(2)} &= H^2, \\ R^{(3)} &= H^3 + K, \\ R^{(4)} &= H^4 + 2(HK + KH), \\ R^{(5)} &= H^5 + L + 2(KH^2 + H^2K) + 6HKH, \\ R^{(6)} &= H^6 + KH^3 + H^3K + 9(H^2KH + HKH^2) + \\ &\quad + 10K^2 + 3(HL + LH). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The matrices  $K$  and  $L$  may be obtained from the following integrability conditions [13],[16],[17]:

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{23} - K_{12} &= [H_{12} + H_{23}, [H_{12}, H_{23}]], \\
L_{23} - L_{12} &= [H_{12}^3 + H_{23}^3 + 3(K_{12} + K_{23}), J] + 3(H_{12}[J, H_{12}]H_{12} + H_{23}[J, H_{23}]H_{23}) + \\
&\quad (H_{12}H_{23} + H_{23}H_{12})(K_{23} - K_{12}) + (K_{23} - K_{12})(H_{12}H_{23} + H_{23}H_{12}) - \\
&\quad 2(H_{12}(K_{23} - K_{12})H_{23} + H_{23}(K_{23} - K_{12})H_{12}),
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where  $J = [H_{12}, H_{23}]$ .

As the Eq.(2) as the Eqs (6) and (7) are invariant under the following  $Q \in SL(M)$  action:

$$X \rightarrow Q^{-1} \otimes Q^{-1} X Q \otimes Q, \tag{8}$$

( $Q \in SL(M)$ ,  $X = H, K, R(\lambda)$ ).

It was shown in [16] a detailed analysis of series expansions applied to quotients of the  $R$ -matrix entries gives possibility to guess right the whole  $R$ -matrix. An alternative approach for solving the system (2),(3) is given in the recent paper [19].

In the next sections we shall present the obtained by our approach  $R$ -matrices related to the general  $SU(2) \times U(1)$ -symmetric spin-orbit Hamiltonian,

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{n,n+1} &= (s_n s_{n+1})(a_1 + a_2(\tau_n^x \tau_{n+1}^x + \tau_n^y \tau_{n+1}^y) + a_3 \tau_n^z \tau_{n+1}^z + \frac{1}{2}a_6(\tau_n^z + \tau_{n+1}^z)) + \\
&\quad + a_4(\tau_n^x \tau_{n+1}^x + \tau_n^y \tau_{n+1}^y) + a_5 \tau_n^z \tau_{n+1}^z + \frac{1}{2}a_7(\tau_n^z + \tau_{n+1}^z),
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

which may be parameterized by the set of coefficients:  $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_7\}$ . Here in (9) the spin and pseudospin operators are expressed from the Pauli matrices:

$$s^k = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^k \otimes I_2, \quad \tau^k = \frac{1}{2}I_2 \otimes \sigma^k, \quad k = x, y, z. \tag{10}$$

The following change of coefficients:

$$\{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7\} \rightarrow \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, -a_6, -a_7\}, \tag{11}$$

does not destroy an integrability or change the spectrum of Hamiltonian because it corresponds to the transformation (8) with  $Q = I_2 \otimes \sigma^x$ .

For a chain with even numbers of sites the same is true for the following change of variables:

$$\{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7\} \rightarrow \{a_1, -a_2, a_3, -a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7\}, \tag{12}$$

which corresponds to the graduated version of (8).

$$H_{2n,2n+1} \rightarrow 4\tau_{2n}^z H_{2n,2n+1} \tau_{2n}^z, \quad H_{2n-1,2n} \rightarrow 4\tau_{2n}^z H_{2n-1,2n} \tau_{2n}^z. \tag{13}$$

The case,

$$S^{KH} = \{1 - \alpha, 0, 4(1 + \alpha), 0, 1 + \alpha, 4, -1\} \quad (14)$$

corresponds to the Kugel-Khomskii model of 1D perovskite [1].

The case,

$$S^I = \{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \beta) + \gamma, 2(\alpha + \beta), 2(2\gamma + \beta - \alpha), \frac{1}{2}(3\beta - \alpha), \frac{1}{2}(3\beta + \alpha - 2\gamma), 0, 0\}, \quad (15)$$

(where unphysical region  $\alpha \approx \beta \approx \gamma$ , but  $\beta > \alpha$  and  $\beta > \gamma$ ) corresponds to the Inagaki's model [2]. In [4] it was also applied to organic 1D ferromagnet TDAE - C<sub>60</sub> and in [5] to the family of 1D superconductors AC<sub>60</sub> ( $A = K, Cs, Rb$ ) (with  $T_c > 30K$ )

The special case of (15) with,

$$\alpha = 1 - \delta, \quad \beta = 1 + \delta, \quad \gamma = 1 - \delta^2, \quad (16)$$

(where in physical region  $0 < \delta < 1$ ) was studied in [6].

The two cases,

$$S_1^{\text{NaV}_2\text{O}_5} = \{\alpha, 8\beta, 4\alpha, 2\beta, 4\beta - \alpha, 0, 0\}, \quad (17)$$

$$S_2^{\text{NaV}_2\text{O}_5} = \{-\alpha, 4\alpha, 4\alpha, 3\alpha, 3\alpha, -4\beta, \beta\}, \quad (18)$$

correspond to limiting cases of the model describing the quarter-filled ladder compound  $\alpha'$  - NaV<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [7].

The case:

$$S^{st} = \{1, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\}, \quad (19)$$

corresponds the effective spin-tube Hamiltonian suggested in [8].

Let us also mention the paper [9] where the spin-orbital Hamiltonian was applied to arrays of quantum dots.

In the special  $SU(4)$ -symmetric point:

$$S^{SU(4)} = \{1, 4, 4, 1, 1, 0, 0\}, \quad (20)$$

the model (20) was solved in [18] ( $R(\lambda) = \eta I + \lambda \mathcal{P}$ ). This point corresponds to degenerative cases of (15) ( $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$ ) (or  $\delta = 0$ ) Using the transformation (12),(13) we may obtain the  $R$ -matrix for the model with

$$\tilde{S}^{SU(4)} = \{1, -4, 4, -1, 1, 0, 0\}. \quad (21)$$

In the special  $Sp(4)$ -symmetric point:

$$S^{Sp(4)} = \{1, 4, 8, 2, 1, 0, 0\}, \quad (22)$$

(equivalent to  $\tilde{S}^{Sp(4)} = \{1, -4, 8, -2, 1, 0, 0\}$ ) related to  $3\alpha = \beta = \gamma$  in (17) the  $R$ -matrix was presented in [20].

Except (20) and (22) no integrable cases of the Hamiltonian (9) were studied up to now. In order to start this process we have solved the system (2),(3) related to (9). The calculations were performed by two steps. On the first using the Gröbner package of the computer algebra system MAPLE 7 we have found 7 new solutions of the system (7). On the second we derived the corresponding  $R$ -matrices using the approach suggested in [16], [19].

For convenience of representation the obtained  $R$ -matrices are separated on 4 groups. In the each one all  $R$ -matrices have similar positions of non-zero entries therefore they may be presented in a unique form.

The author is grateful to P. P. Kulish for statement of the problem and to M. J. Martins for helpful discussion.

Everywhere below  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ .

## 2 The group 1

In this group the  $R$ -matrix corresponds to

$$S^{(1)} = \{0, 4, 0, 1, 0, 4\varepsilon, \varepsilon\}, \quad (23)$$

and has the following form,

$$R^{(1)}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} f_+ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta & 0 & 0 & g_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \eta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \eta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_1 & 0 & 0 & \eta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_- & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \eta & 0 & 0 & g_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \eta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \eta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g_1 & 0 & 0 & \eta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_+ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \eta & 0 & 0 & g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & g_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \eta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \eta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g_1 & 0 & 0 & \eta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad (24)$$

where  $f_{\pm} = \pm\varepsilon\lambda + \eta$ ,  $g_1 = \lambda$ ,  $g_2 = -g_3 = \varepsilon\lambda$ .

### 3 The group 2

This group consists of two related subgroups. For the first one the  $R$ -matrix is the following,

$$R^{(2a)}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} f_+ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta & 0 & 0 & g_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \eta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \eta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_1 & 0 & 0 & \eta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_- & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \eta & 0 & 0 & g_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_- & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \eta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g_1 & 0 & 0 & \eta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_+ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \eta & 0 & 0 & g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & g_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \eta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_- & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g_1 & 0 & 0 & \eta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad (25)$$

and there are two solutions. The first one,

$$S^{(2a,1)} = \{1, 8\varepsilon, 4, 2\varepsilon, 3, 4, -1\}, \quad (26)$$

corresponds to  $f_+ = f_- = \lambda + \eta$ ,  $g_1 = -g_2 = \varepsilon\lambda$ . The second,

$$S^{(2a,2)} = \{1, 8\varepsilon, 4, 2\varepsilon, -1, 4, 3\}, \quad (27)$$

corresponds to  $f_{\pm} = \eta \pm \lambda$ ,  $\varepsilon g_1 = g_2 = \lambda$ .

For the second subgroup the corresponding Hamiltonians may be obtained by from (26),(27) by the transformation (11) while the  $R$ -matrices by transposition with respect to the second diagonal.

## 4 The group 3

In this group  $R$ -matrices have the form,

$$R^{(3)}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} f_+ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h & 0 & 0 & g & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & f_+ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & h & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g & 0 & 0 & h & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_- & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & h & 0 & 0 & g & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_- & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_+ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g & 0 & 0 & 0 & h & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_+ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & h & 0 & 0 & g \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & g & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & h & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_- & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g & 0 & 0 & h & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_- \end{pmatrix}. \quad (28)$$

There are two solutions. The first one corresponds to

$$S^{(3,1)} = \{0, 4, 0, 1, \theta, 0, 0\}. \quad (29)$$

Here  $f_+ = f_- = \sinh(\lambda + \eta)$  for  $\theta = 2 \cosh \eta > 2$ ,  $f_+ = f_- = \sin(\lambda + \eta)$  for  $\theta = 2 \cos \eta < 2$  and  $f_+ = f_- = \lambda + \eta$  for  $\theta = 2$ . The latter solution is related to the special case of (15) with  $\alpha = \beta$  and  $\gamma = 0$  as well to the special case of (17) with  $\alpha = 0$ .

The second solution corresponds to

$$S^{(3,2)} = \{0, 4, 0, 1, 0, 0, \theta\}. \quad (30)$$

Here  $f_{\pm} = \sinh(\eta \pm \lambda)$  for  $\theta = 2 \cosh \eta > 2$ ,  $f_{\pm} = \sin(\eta \pm \lambda)$  for  $\theta = 2 \cos \eta < 2$  and  $f_{\pm} = \eta \pm \lambda$  for  $\theta = 2$ . In both the cases  $g = \sinh \lambda$ ,  $h = \sinh \eta$  for  $\theta > 2$ ,  $g = \sin \lambda$ ,  $h = \sin \eta$  for  $\theta < 2$ , and  $g = \lambda$ ,  $h = \eta$  for  $\theta = 2$ .

## 5 The group 4

In this group  $R$ -matrices have the form,

$$R^{(4)}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & f_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & f_3 & 0 & 0 & -g_1 & 0 & 0 & g_2 & 0 & 0 & g_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -g_1 & 0 & 0 & f_3 & 0 & 0 & g_1 & 0 & 0 & g_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & g_2 & 0 & 0 & g_1 & 0 & 0 & f_3 & 0 & 0 & -g_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & g_1 & 0 & 0 & g_2 & 0 & 0 & -g_1 & 0 & 0 & f_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (31)$$

where  $g_2 = f_2 - f_3$ .

There are two solutions. The first one corresponds to

$$S^{(4,1)} = \{1, 4\varepsilon, -4, -\varepsilon, 1, 0, 0\}, \quad (32)$$

where  $f_1 = f_2 = \sinh(\lambda + \eta)$ ,  $\varepsilon g_1 = g_2 = \sinh \lambda$ ,  $f_3 = \sinh(\lambda + \eta) - \sinh \lambda$ ,  $\sinh \eta = \sqrt{3}$ . For  $\varepsilon = 1$  this model is the special case of (15) with  $\beta = \gamma = 0$ . For  $\varepsilon = -1$  it is  $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ -symmetric (in fact  $SU(4)$ -symmetric [21]), and as it was mentioned in [11] corresponds to the four-critical point in the phase diagram of the ferromagnetic  $SU(2) \times U(1)$ -symmetric spin-orbital model. This model was also suggested in [10] as a model of correlated electrons in a lattice of Berry phase molecules. It was also shown in [19] that it is a Temperley-Lieb system [22].

The second solution corresponds to,

$$S^{(4,2)} = \{2, 4\varepsilon, -8, -\varepsilon, 5, 0, 0\}, \quad (33)$$

where  $f_1 = f_2 e^\lambda = 4e^{2\lambda} - 1$ ,  $f_3 = 2e^\lambda + e^{-\lambda}$ ,  $g_1 = \varepsilon(1 - e^{-2\lambda})$ ,  $g_2 = 4\sinh \lambda$ .



## References

- [1] I. Kugel and D.I. Khomskii, 1973 Zh. Éksp. Teor. Fiz. **64**, 1429 [ Sov. Phys. JETP **37**, 725 ]; 1982 Usp. Fiz. Nauk **138**, 621 [Sov. Phys. Usp. **25**, 231 ]
- [2] S. Inagaki, 1975 Journ. Phys. Soc. Japan, **39**, 596
- [3] L. M. Roth, 1966 Phys. Rev. **149**, 306
- [4] D. P. Arovas, A. Auerbach, 1995 Phys. Rev. B **52**, 10114
- [5] G. Santoro, L. Guidoni, A. Parola, E. Tosatti, 1997 Phys. Rev. B **55**, 16168
- [6] Y. Yamashita, N. Shibata, K. Ueda, 1998 Phys. Rev. B **58**, 9114
- [7] D. Sa. and C. Gros, 2000 Eur. Phys. J. B **18**, 421
- [8] E. Orignac, R. Citro and N. Andrei, 2000 Phys. Rev. B **61**, 11533
- [9] A. V. Onufriev, J. B. Marston, 1999 Phys. Rev. B, **59**, 12573
- [10] G. Santoro, M. Airolli, N. Manini, E. Tosatti, A. Parola, 1995 Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 4039
- [11] S. K. Pati and R. R. P. Singh, 1998 D. I. Khomskii, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 5406
- [12] A. K. Kolezhuk, H.-J. Mikeska and U. Schollwöck, 2001 Phys. Rev. B **63**, 064418
- [13] P. P. Kulish, E. K. Sklyanin 1982 *Proc. Symp. on Integrable Quantum Fields Lecture Notes in Physics 151* ed J. Hietarinta and C. Montonen (New York: Springer)
- [14] V. E. Korepin, A. G. Izergin, N. M. Bogoliubov 1993 *Quantum inverse scattering method and correlation functions* (Cambridge Univ. Press)
- [15] L. D. Faddeev 1998 "How algebraic Bethe Ansatz works for integrable models", Quantum symmetries/Symmetries quantique, *Proceedings of the Les Houches summer school Session LXIV*, eds. A. Connes, K. Gawedzki and J. Zinn-Justin North-Holland
- [16] P. N. Bibikov, 2003 Phys. Lett. A **314**, 209-213
- [17] K.-H. Mütter and A. Schmidtt, 1995 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **28**, 2265
- [18] G. V. Uimin, Zh. 1970 Éksp. Teor. Fiz., Pis'ma Red. **12** 332 [JETP Lett. **12**, 225 ]; C. K. Lai, 1974 J. Math. Phys. **15**, 1675; B. Sutherland, 1975 Phys. Rev. B **12**, 3795

- [19] P. N. Bibikov, 2006 Zap. Nauchn. Semin. POMI **335**, 50 (in Russian)
- [20] M. J. Martins 2002 Nucl. Phys. B **636**, 583
- [21] I. Affleck, 1985 Phys. Rev. B **54**, 966
- [22] P. P. Kulish, 2003 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **36** L489